

Business Assembly 2015

Business Minutes

Monday, June 1, Fr. Jeff called the electoral assembly to order at 7:30 p.m.

Fr. Ron Wiecek called the roll.

Fr. Joseph Grasso and Bro. Anthony Canterucci were the only two absent. Fr. Ron Mahon and Fr. Jim Reposkey arrived late. All others were present.

Fr. Peter wanted to add to the agenda the revision of Article 77, second sentence. Second part needs to be changed. The minutes of the Provincial Assembly be forwarded to all the members for correction before being approved by the Provincial and his Council.

Visitation Report will be added to Wednesday's agenda. The changes of the agenda were approved unanimously by the assembly.

Two tellers need to be appointed. Fr. Dominic Jung was nominated by Fr. Peter and seconded by Fr. John Colacino. The assembly voted unanimously to have Fr. Jung as a teller with 26 votes. The second person nominated to be a teller was Fr. Sam D'Angelo. Again he was nominated by Fr. Peter but the nomination was seconded by Fr. Brendan. Fr. Sam was approved unanimously with 2 votes.

The evening session was adjourned at 8:00 by Fr. Jeff.

Tuesday, June 2, Fr. Jeff called the electoral assembly to order at 9:30 a.m.

Fr. Ron called the roll. Absent were Fr. Joseph Grasso and Bro. Anthony Canterucci.

Fr. Jeff then introduced Fr. Juan Carlos Barajas Hernandez who is the current pastor of Sagrado Corazon in Mexico and is on loan to us for four years from the Chilean Vicariate.

Sr. Joan Stafford, OSU, began the presentation on the mission project. She gave a plan for the day.

First thing is to look at our work and our spirituality in relationship to the mission project.

What are the charisms that we bring as a community (such as reconciliation) and then to address our mission. We are called to live in our present reality and build a future that is hopeful. Where is the Spirit of God in all of this?

What is the current reality in regard to the mission project? We need to approach it, and see it as it is. It is real. From that prospective, we then can see what is possible for the future.

Fr. Patrick then gave a brief synopsis of the mission project report. He mentioned what had been accomplished in 39 years with 600 wells and how many people were benefiting from it. 1,000 children come to us for a better future through the educational facilities that are taking shape.

Fr. Patrick said that he would not influence the decision of the assembly by stating what he would like to see happen. He said he definitely had a bias, but he would not make any motion or second any motion.

Then Fr. Patrick shared some major concerns which were in his written report. He said in 2013, 1.75 million USD were donated for the building of the high school, but .35 million US dollars was diverted to the water project. Tuition has been raised substantially. Most of the families could afford it. Next year the tuition will be raised again and the schools will be self-sufficient. Next year, the water project will be self sufficient.

Fr. Patrick said that he just had been informed that the land put up in collateral for the loan of the province which had already been reduced in value to 275,000 USD and not 600,000 USD was now thought by a realtor to be worth 160,000 USD.

Fr. Patrick said that he has a working advisory board in place and needs approval. Fr. John Colacino said that responsibility was given to the Provincial and his Council at last year's extraordinary assembly. They are the ones that need to approve the suggested advisory board.

Fr. Patrick then mentioned the layoffs at the water project. He stated that one needs to be careful of Tanzanian labor laws in regard to piece-meal work because after a time, the individual is then considered a full time worker.

To overhaul the mission project: The model that we seemed to use was Charity in the past and we now need to move to a Solidarity Model.

We also need to change from fundraising to development or advancement model.

We need to have specific goals in place rather than just throw money at people.

We need to provide the instruments to meet the needs of the donors themselves. For example, Dr. Batt funds projects for water.

What is killing the water project is the maintenance cost, not the drilling. He would be willing to look at each situation case by case and make a decision. We need to look for others who have such a passion.

Maintenance is based many times on charity – we need to treat them as a customer or client – we will fix it and bill them and if it is not fast enough, they can find someone else to do it.

Fr. Patrick then shared with the assembly what he thought would be the worst case scenario – no money coming in at all. We know that will not be the case. It will be better than that. But it gives an accurate picture about what we are up against.

Fr. Patrick began with the 130,000 USD repayment to the high school and the effective worst case scenario cost total would be 850,000 USD.

Fr. John then publicly thanked Fr. Patrick in the name of the community for giving a very Intelligent, clear, honest, transparent report. He mentioned it was probably the first time that was done since he was part of the province.

We took a ten minute break.

Fr. Patrick then continued with the other alternative: Close the mission project.

He raised a series of questions:

Who will coordinate the process of closure?

What communication would be required and with whom?

With the community?

Outside the community?

What about the assets and the legal issues and the tax implications?

Fr. Patrick estimated that the cost could be a multiple of the cost it would take to overhaul the project.

He also felt that there would be a need to have managerial people in place.

Would people see the closure of the mission project as connected to Bro. Anthony's retirement?

Fr. Bill Nordenbrock that said the issue at stake is an identity question. How do we continue to be "missionary"?

Information is critical and the information needs to be shared periodically and updated.

Fr. Timothy ended the discussion by stating that we need to remember the lay people that have been involved in this project and especially the youth. The youth of Canada is involved and the program in Tanzania is making an impact with the young people especially in their awareness with the issues of poverty and hunger.

The morning session then was adjourned for the celebration of the Liturgy.

The afternoon session was called to order at 3:00 p.m. by Fr. Jeff.

Bro. Anthony and Fr. Joseph Grasso were absent.

We began with a presentation by Mrs. Hannah-Maria Ma on the sustainability of development.

The message is not telling people what has been done in the past.

What is the tone of the message that we are giving out? Are we excited by what is happening?

There are a lot of things to be done to modernize the way we do things there. Today you need more than just a mailing list. More importantly, our mailing list tells us nothing about the donors. We need a donor centered model.

What are the donors' goals? People who are interested in water or a more sustainable community may be interested in what we are doing. Why are they giving and what they are interested in?

Donor matrix is a donor profile. You would not ask \$25 when they are used to giving \$200. It's pragmatic. You would be asking them for what they are accustomed to be giving.

They want to know if their money is being used effectively. They are not interested in trinkets.

We are facing lots of hurdles and obstacles. The donors need to know what they are and how they are being overcome and how they can help if they are interested.

The mission website needs to be modernized and updated. The donors want to see and determine what needs to be done and how they can help.

On the website, donors should share why they give. Workers can share what they do and why they do it. Again it is telling the story from a different perspective.

The volunteers that go to Tanzania can share their stories and their experiences and why they are glad they were there.

Sometimes a letter at the end of the year can be good because of tax break incentive.

The secret about the messages are that they need to be consistent when they address the different motivations people have for giving.

Many former models of fundraising do not resonate with people today. They are more technically aware of what is going on and they want to the work that is being done in the areas in which they are interested; for example, uniforms for school children. They might want to see who makes them locally and the kids actually wearing them.

Our current website has pictures in some parts, but no information.

Also our website is not being kept up to date. If it is not up to date, it is not doing its work for you. Not updated means perhaps you are overwhelmed and perhaps you cannot do the work that you said you are doing in other places either.

Fr. Sam asked about the You Tube connection: It's a phenomenon, but not a way to increase donations.

Developing stories in a more friendly way is the best way to get your donors involved.

Need proper infrastructure to support donations over the internet.

The website needs to be revamped. It needs to be a strong tool of integration.

Tell your story on line and have a way for people to respond to it.

The expense of redoing the website professionally is between \$7,500 to \$10,000 CAD. It will take approximately three months time.

It needs to be more efficient.

Good stewardship takes time. Probably the most important thing to do is to make sure you thank someone for his/her support.

Special events can have an impact such as the 40th anniversary of our working in Tanzania or our 200th anniversary as a Congregation devoted to the missionary effort of the church.

Sometimes you have three different letters. They need to be consistent, yet you need to change the letter according to the donor matrix. The letter should clearly state what we can offer the donor.

Some important points to remember: The mission project needs to develop donors who have a strong culture of giving and are committed to the project.

They are being offered what they want and all the procedures we are engaged in are respecting them.

This is a work that will take a lot of time. It is a rebuilding time.

What is being proposed to move forward is a full time development officer.

No one person can do everything. Therefore, it is not only a full time development officer but also a part time aide.

We need to have a targeted campaign.

18 months is the time limit. A campaign should never go more than two years.

Sr. Joan asked the assembly if we had enough information. Can we sustain this and if so, for how long?

We would have to support it. We don't have any idea about our donors.

We need to keep focus on what needs to happen.

If the Development Office is created, it needs to be a work of the province, not an entity unto itself.

Who will give direction to this office? The answer seemed to be clear from the previous meetings we have had on this issue: it would be the Provincial and his Council who would oversee this Office.

Sr. Joan asked us to raise our hands in response to the question: Are we leaning toward setting up a development office or closing the mission project? The tellers counted the votes. There were 24 yeses, 0 no's, and two abstentions.

John suggested that the Provincial and his Council and the Treasurer and Mission Director need to formulate a motion this evening so that it could be present to the assembly tomorrow morning and a formal vote taken by the assembly.

Fr. Jeff thanked Sr. Joan for her work as facilitator and then adjourned the assembly until tomorrow morning at 9:30 p.m.

Wednesday, June 3, the morning's session was called to order by Fr. Jeff at 9:30 a.m. The roll was called by Fr. Ron Wiecek. Bro. Anthony Canterucci and Fr. Joseph Grasso were absent.

The first order of business was to deal with the proposals that came from the meeting that took place last night.

Statements: In light of the bicentennial of the Congregation and the impending Jubilee Year of Mercy called for by Pope Francis, the following motions are proposed.

The Province is to hire a full-time development coordinator and a full-time administrative assistant who will be hired by the Provincial and Council, upon the recommendation of the Mission Director. It is understood that the immediate mandate of each will be in the service of the overhaul of the Mission Project.

That the Mission Director and Provincial Treasurer be invited to attend each meeting of the Provincial Council and that they will provide the Council with an update on the project status, a summary of which will be distributed to the members after each meeting.

That the funds for the overhaul of the Mission Project be derived in memoriam from the estate of Rev. Mario Bufalini, C.P.P.S.

That the Provincial and Council in coordination with the Mission Director is mandated to lead a process leading to the revision of the Provincial Statutes and Customary as it pertains to the Mission Office.

That the Provincial and Council fulfill with alacrity, the mandate of the previous assembly to reconstitute the Mission Committee.

It was decided to break down into small groups to discuss these proposals and come back to the bigger group in 20 minutes. Fr. John then was asked to facilitate the discussion. The Spanish group thought there should be a separation of the water project from the education project. We have been told in two years that these two projects should be self-sufficient. After that time period, it was thought that perhaps a different vision could occur like assisting the work in Mexico. Fr. Bill Nordenbrock raised a theological question: what is the missionary identity of this province -- to accompany the needs of the people of Tanzania (a solidarity model) or give money for projects (charity model).

We want to continue our work but to do it more effectively, and Fr. Patrick is overhauling the Mission Office to go in that direction.

After a long period of discussion, Fr. Gary moved that the assembly accept the first proposal with the change of Office in place of Mission Project at the end of the sentence. Fr. Brendan seconded the motion. The motion, therefore, read that the Province hire a full-time development coordinator and a full time administrative assistant who will be hired by the Provincial and Council, upon the recommendation of the Mission Director. It is understood that the immediate mandate of each will be in the service of the overhaul of the Mission Office. 26 people voted. The motion passed with 25 yes, and one ballot was declared invalid.

The second proposal was then moved by Fr. Brendan and seconded by Fr. Jim who accepted the wording changes from project status to status of the mission office. The motion, therefore, read that Mission Director and Provincial Treasurer be invited to attend each meeting of the Provincial Council and that they provide the Council with an update on the status of the Mission Office, a summary of which will be distributed to the members after each meeting. 26 people voted and there were 26 yeses, 0 no. The motion passed unanimously.

The third proposal was made into a motion by Fr. Gary with the change that the word office replaces the word project. The motion then read that the funds for the overhaul of the mission office be derived in memoriam from the estate of Rev. Mario Bufalini, C.P.P.S. Fr. Lui seconded the motion and a vote was taken. The vote was 25 yeses, 1 no.

The fourth was made into a motion by with the change that the word Statutes was added to the motion. The motion now read that the Provincial and Council in coordination with the Mission Director is mandated to lead a process leading to the revision of the Provincial Statutes and Customary as it pertains to the Mission Office. Fr. Peter moved the motion and Fr. Ron Wiecek seconded it. A vote was taken and there were 26 yeses and 0 no votes so the motion passed unanimously

The last proposal did not need to be voted upon but was just a reminder that this still needs to be done since it was voted on at the last meeting.

Then Fr. Patrick read the legal brief to do the change of status of the non-profit corporation for the Mission Project. The assembly approved the change with 26 yeses. It was a unanimous decision.

Fr. Jeff adjourned the meeting and we were to reconvene at 2:00 p.m. because we were running behind on your schedule.

At 2:00 p.m. Fr. Jeff brought the meeting to order and Fr. Ron Wiecek made the roll call.

Bro. Anthony Canterucci and Fr. Joseph Grasso were absent.

Peter introduced the USC Report by stating that the lay people themselves wrote the report. The report was compiled by Annette DeCarolis, Judy Bauman, and Bro. Ben Mangantulao. Bro. Angelo Capo and Bro. Ben Mangantulao were present to answer questions on the report and also to embellish it. They informed the members that there is a big base of new members at St. Charles Borromeo parish in Toronto. A large part of that base is the prayer group El Shaddai who has lengthened their name to the El Shaddai Precious Blood Prayer Community. There are 30 to 40 people actively involved in this group.

The hope and dream of this group is to make the USC visible in the Toronto area. They believe that the USC needs to start first at the three C.P.P.S. parishes. Their desire is to rotate the activities between the three parishes.

The assembly thanked them for their presentation with applause.

The Vocation/Formation Report was delivered by Fr. Sam.

The report itself was a list of potential candidates. Two potential candidates would like to start but still have not turned in their applications nor have they done their psychological assessment. Fr. Sam would like to put them in a community setting for approximately three months to give them a taste of that. In the formation terminology it would be the equivalent to the inquiry period.

This led to a discussion as to where and who would do the observing since there is no Formation Director appointed in Canada at the moment. Fr. John stated that he would like to see formation in a C.P.P.S. context.

The community then talked about the various possibilities of where to send them for studies and what kind of arrangements needed to be in place so that it would be in a C.P.P.S. context. The following ten possibilities were brought up:

1. Collaboration with Kansas City and Cincinnati Provinces by attending the Catholic Theological Union and having the students and candidates live in Chicago. Perhaps this option is the most prophetic as it begins the integration process of the North American Provinces, one day.
2. Residence and studies at St. Peter's Seminary (London, ON) or St. Augustine's Seminary (Toronto, ON). St. Augustine's Seminary, however, requires that the entire admissions process be conducted by them if the students are in residence. Conversely, St. Peter's does not require that they conduct the entire admissions process. St. Peter's Seminary also has a Culture-works program with Kings University for students who come from diverse cultural backgrounds.

3. Study at a diocesan seminary, such as St. Peter's, but residence at a church staffed by the Missionaries of the Precious Blood. Perhaps a church in London with a large rectory would be a feasible option here. St. Alphonsus Church in Toronto was also used in this manner in the past.
4. A House of Formation with students studying at a seminary or consortium of theology schools. With this option, there would be an issue of a director of formation residing in the house and the proximity of the house to the theology schools.
5. Second floor mission office space at St. Alphonsus could be converted to living space for students.
6. Third floor Bathurst could be re-converted to a residence for students. This may be problematic due to rental agreements and the other two partners who now own the building with us.
7. New house downtown.
8. Stay at the Merlini Study Centre on Pelmo, due to the new subway construction at Eglington.
9. Residence at a CPPS house in Rome with studies in Rome.
10. Christ the King Seminary in Aurora, NY with residence in a house on the grounds of the seminary. Perhaps renting a house for the students on the grounds would be a possibility.

Fr. Sam asked who had been trained in formation work and Fathers John, Lui, Augusto, and Ron Wiecek answered in the affirmative.

Fr. Sam then asked where we should put candidates who are in discernment. There then was a discussion to put the candidates in Pelmo or in one or the other parishes in the Toronto area. After a while it was suggested that Pelmo would be the most practical place for the time being but the ultimate decision would be left up to the Provincial and his Council and the Vocation Director.

Throughout the discussion, it seemed that there was a strong push for community identity first.

Fr. Sam then said the whole section in our documents about the Joint Formation Program is outdated and needs to be looked at again. Fr. Bill said that the two American Provinces have a new joint adventure. It was then recommended that Fr. Sam obtain copies of the new Cincinnati-Kansas City Policies on formation and that the Formation/Vocation Team examine those policies and make recommendations to the assembly at the next business meeting.

Fr. Sam then wanted the community to make some revisions to our current Statutes regarding Formation Policies. He proposed the following four changes that were made into motions and passed by the assembly.

Sec. 1, Title III, #25

Fr. Sam made the motion that the following change be made to this statute: the Formation Committee would become the Vocation/Formation Committee. The motion was seconded by Fr. Phil. Statute #25 then would read:

The Director of Formation is assisted in his work by the Vocation/Formation Committee which functions according to the guidelines contained in the Program of Formation.

Before the vote was called, Fr. Carlo left the assembly. The vote was taken and there were 25 yeases. The revision passed unanimously.

Sec. 1, Title III, #28

#28 Fr. Sam moved and Fr. Ron Wiecek seconded the motion to eliminate this statute altogether. This would allow much more flexibility as to what can happen in a house of studies. If something like this needs to be mandated, it needs to be a policy and not a statute. A vote was called to eliminate this statute and the vote was 24 yeases, 0 no, and 1 abstention.

Sec. 1, Title III, #29

This statute talks about St. Gaspar's Burse and Fr. Sam asked Fr. Peter if it exists. Fr. Peter said no. Fr. Sam therefore moved that this statute be eliminated. Fr. Peter seconded the motion. A vote was taken and the results were 24 yeases, 0 no, and 1 abstention,

This ended the Vocation/Formation presentation. Fr. Jeff then told the assembly to take a 10 minute break.

When the assembly reconvened, Fr. Peter began the financial presentations. Fr. Peter introduced Mr. Peter Plagianakos to the assembly. Mr. Plagianakos is the CPA that Fr. Peter uses for the financial concerns of the community. He reviewed the financial balance sheets of the community and was willing to take questions.

Fr. Gary asked about the Discretionary Trust Fund. Mr. Plagianakos said the Discretionary Trust Fund needs to be added to so that the needs of the retired and infirm members of the community could be adequately provided in future years.

Fr. Peter talked about the allowance, both personal and for automobile.

It was moved and seconded that the allowance will be adjusted annually.

Fr. Peter than gave the Trustee's Report. The Trustees are those who oversee the Discretionary Trust Fund.

The Mass Association Report was looked at. Fr. Peter said that since all 1,000 Masses for which we were responsible were not said, he had to send Mass stipends to other units so that they would be said.

With this report, all the financial business was finished for the day.

Fr. Jeff then informed the assembly that we had to meet this evening because we were behind our schedule. He repeated that people should read the reports beforehand and bring their questions. The reports would not be read to them. The meeting was adjourned at 5:10 p.m.

Fr. Jeff called the meeting to order at 7:30 p.m. Bro. Anthony and Fr. Joseph Grasso were absent.

Fr. Bill Nordenbrock was asked to give his Visitation report. He shared that he asked people what he needed to do to perform that task well. He was told that he needed to St. Paul as a model and follow his example. He should admonish, encourage, and warn the community when necessary.

First of all, Fr. Bill wanted to thank the community for their hospitality.

Then Fr. Bill directed his remark to Mexico. He saw it as young and vibrant, but having interpersonal difficulties to deal with. The danger is just to let things go. An advantage that he saw was the new blood given to Mexico with Fr. Juan Carlos' arrival. He saw good ministry being done. He sees Mexico as a place of possibilities and promise. Really, it is just starting. It needs support. What is the purpose of our being there? The province needs to be involved. Fr. Bill was glad that we did spend some time talking about Mexico. It is not a step-child.

Tanzania has been a big part of our missionary effort. We have done it. Fr. Bill was personally glad about the decision that we made. We need to understand our history there. A deeper question that needs to be asked is what is the missionology of our work in Africa. The community needs to direct its work in response to its answer.

Fr. Bill's overall impression of the province is that there is a lot of fracturing and a cohesive identity needs to be formed. He sees our province as a collection of individual faithfulness yet how do we become communal. We definitely are shifting from the Italian ministry but is there a plan in place.

He sees that as a response to the signs of the times, but is it a communal choice. What is going to replace the focus on the Italian ministry.

Fr. Bill then shared with us the fracturing that he saw within the community. He said he saw five different and distinct groups. The first are the builders and defenders. They were the initial builders of the province and they want to make sure nothing changes. They have the power.

The second group is the next generation. They did not for that original purpose. They are under sixty mostly and they are unhappy about the state of affairs. They want change and they are open to a reconfiguring of the province.

The third group is the transplants. There seems to be a mutual resentment and a lack of trust between them and the other members of the community

The fourth group is the refugees. They live and work removed from the life of the province.

And lastly, the fifth group is the Mexican stepchildren.

Fr. Bill raised the question: How can we reconcile the groups? It is not about unfaithfulness. But this split needs to be addressed. The community does have a document to help. It is how a unit can do a self assessment. In effect, it is a significant call to renew.

Fr. Bill said another issue that needs to be address is our formation program.

Fr. Bill then returned to the relationship issue. He stated that these are the things that I see. No one articulated the problem here. He is not asking that we sit around and hold hands. But we need to acknowledge that sometimes we do hurt one another. We need to practice reconciliation ourselves.

One of the hurtful things that happens is the gossip. None of it is beneficial.

Where is our Joyful, vigorous witness of the Gospel. We should be experts of communion and give witness to the world.

A question that Fr. Bill asked is what should he be doing to help the province?

What needs to develop is a new consciousness and a new way of thinking. It has to be broader than just the mission office.

Fr. Bill has asked us to reflect on the word Identity . Fr. Bill then was open for questions or comments.

Fr. Jeff stated that we live in the past. We do not know each other nor do we know them for something. He gave the example of himself. He is returning to hospital ministry not because he gets a big salary for the community, but that it is his passion.

Fr. Gary felt that a thing that hinders communication is the fear of not being accepted for who you are.

We can be in communion. How do we make this the norm? Fr. Bill then ended his sharing.

Fr. Gary was asked to address the archive report.

He reminded people that if they have not updated their wills nor have one on file, they need to do so as quickly as possible. He has been asking this for the past four years and there are still people who have not responded to it.

He is also looking for a fireproof safe file cabinet with four drawers. They are really expensive, but if someone is aware of one becoming available, he would appreciate knowing about it.

Fr. Jeff then said the next item is the Provincial Report. He would take questions on that, but first he wanted to thank the membership for their support and trust.

There were no questions about the report. The assembly gave applause to Fr. Jeff for the fine work that he did while he was provincial.

Fr. Jeff wanted Fr. Peter to address the Charity Fund. Fr. Peter stated that there was \$16,600 to be given out. Two places were mentioned in particular. Both have big projects going on and very little resources to do them. The two places were Croatia and Poland. After a brief discussion Fr. Peter moved and Fr. Lui seconded the motion that the entire amount be given to Croatia. The vote was unanimous, 26 yeses.

Next item was to authorize the Provincial and his Council to spend up to \$150,000 USD to deal with the repayment of the funds for the high school. Fr. Peter made this a motion and Fr. John seconded the motion. A vote was taken and it was unanimous, 26 yes votes.

The final item for the evening was Statute 77 and the sentence that Fr. Peter wanted included. The sentence that Fr. Peter wanted added to the statute was: The minutes of the Provincial Assembly be forwarded to all the members for correction before being approved by the Provincial and his Council.

Fr. Peter made the motion and Fr. Ron Wiecek seconded the motion. A vote was taken and the results were 25 yeses and 1 no.

Thursday, June 4, Fr. Jeff called the assembly together at 9:30 a.m. Fr. Ron Wiecek called the roll.

Bro. Anthony Canterucci and Fr. Joseph Grasso were absent. Twenty-six people were present.

Fr. Jeff informed the group that there were still a few loose ends to be taken care off to make sure people felt good about what was going on.

Fr. Peter wanted to have an update about the 200th Anniversary Celebration and what is going on. Fr. Michael said the event was going to take place at the International Plaza Center. The cost is \$25.00 a ticket. There are roll up signs for each of the parishes to advertise the event. They are expecting. 500 people for the day. Fr. Phil said that there is dinner at St. Roch in the evening. The estimated time is from 7:00 – 10:00 p.m. There is a meeting at St. Charles Monday, June 8, to go through the final details. Fr. Peter wanted to thank everyone for the work being done to make this possible.

Fr. Jeff then asked for a motion to close the business assembly. Fr. Phil made the motion and Fr. Brendan seconded it. The members of the assembly voted unanimously to close it.

The assembly was then asked confirmed the events that took place in the business assembly, which they did unanimously.

Rev. Ronald P. Wiecek, C.PP.S.

Secretary

Very Rev. Jeffrey J. Finley, C.PP.S.

Provincial, Atlantic Province

Date